





.

| Award Date: | 1 1 /2 6 /200 2 |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Expiration Date: | 1 1 /2 5 /201 2 |
| Contact: | 1-888-773-6542 |

Prime Contractor Past Performance Evaluation Report

| FINAL REPORT INTERIM REPORT | | (Check one) | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|
| REPORTING PERIOD: (from: mm/dd/yy) | | (To: mm/dd/yy) | | |
| CONTRACT NUMBER: | | | | |

CIO-CS ORDER

.

ORDER AUTHORIZATION NUMBER:

CUSTOMER INFORMATION:

Agency Name: Address:

PRIME CONTRACTOR INFORMATION:

Name: Address:

ORDER AWARD DATE: (mm/dd/yy)

ORDER EXPIRATION DATE: (mm/dd/yy)

ORDER VALUE: \$

ORDER TITLE (Description of Requirements)

RATINGS

Summarize contractor performance and *circle* the number that corresponds to the rating for each rating category.

Using the rating guideline, assign each area a rating of 0 (unsatisfactory), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (excellent), or 5 (outstanding). Provide a brief narrative (500 characters or less) for each of the categories to support the rating assigned. The categories are: quality of product or service, cost control, timeliness of performance, and business relations. At this time comments are limited to 500 characters.

| QUALITY OF PRODUCT OR SERVICE Comments: | Rating: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---------|----|---|---|----|---|---|
| COST CONTROL Comments: | Rating: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE Comments: | Rating: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| BUSINESS RELATIONS Comments: | Rating: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUBCONTRACTS | | | | | | | |
| Are subcontracts involved? (Check One) | | YE | s | 1 | NO | | |

Comments (Please comment on those subcontractors that have provided a significant contribution to overall contract performance.)

3

KEY PERSONNEL

PROJECT MANAGER (Name):

Comments:

KEY PERSON (Name):

Comments:

KEY PERSON (Name):

Comments:



CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

| Is/was the contractor committed to customer satisfaction? (Check One) | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|
| If this is the Final Report: (Check One) | | |
| Would you recommend selection of this firm again? | YES | NO |
| Comments: | | |

PROJECT OFFICER/COTR:

| Last Name | First Name | | МІ | |
|--|------------|-----------------|----|--|
| SIGNATURE: | | Date:(mm/dd/yy) | | |
| Phone: () | | FAX:() | | |
| Internet Address: | | | | |
| CONTRACTING OFFICER/Accountable Management Official (AMO) CONCURRENCE: | | | | |

(Initial)_

-

Date: (mm/dd/yy)_____

CONTRACTOR'S REPRESENTATIVE: (Title)

| | | | 1 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------|
| (Last Name) | (First Name) | | (MI) |
| | | · | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| SIGNATURE: | | Date:(mm/dd/yy) | |
| Phone: () | | FAX: () | |
| | | | |
| Internet Address: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| SUMMARY RATINGS: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| QUALITY: | _ | COST CONTROL: | |
| | | BUSINESS | |
| TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE: | | RELATIONS: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CONTRACTING OFFICER/AMO : Title | | | - |
| | | | (14) |
| (Last Name) | (First Name) | | (MI) |
| | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | 4 |
| SIGNATURE: | | Date: (mm/dd/yy) | |
| | | | |
| Phone: () | | FAX:() | |
| Internet Address: | | | |

CONTRACTOR'S REVIEW:

Were comments, rebuttal, or additional information provided? (Check One)

NO

YES

(If yes: They are on file in):_ (Location)

E

(Phone)

Attached____ (Check if attached)

CIO-CS—Contract Vehicle Information Kit

| AGENCY REVIEW | <i>I</i> : | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------|-----|----|
| Were contractor comments reviewed at a level above the contracting officer/AMO? (Check One) | | | YES | NO |
| (If yes: They are ((Location) | on file in): | (Phone) | | |
| Attached | (Check if attached) | | | |
| | | | | |

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE REPORT INSTRUCTIONS

1. Check the appropriate block to indicate the type of report (Interim, Final). The final evaluation of the contractor's performance must satisfy the reporting requirement stipulated in the FAR. and Health and Human Services Acquisition Regulations

- 2. Indicate the period covered by the report.
- 3. Identify the customer office. Identify the location of the customer

4. Identify the contract number of the contract being evaluated and the Task Order or Delivery Order Authorization Number.

- 5. List the name and address of the contractor.
- 6. Enter TIN and SIC
- 7. Indicate the order award date and order expiration date.
- 8. State the order value, including any option amounts.
- 9. Provide a brief description of the work being performed under the order (the title of the order).

RATINGS

Using the rating guideline, assign each area a rating of 0 (unsatisfactory), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (excellent), or 5 (outstanding). Provide a brief narrative (500 characters or less) for each of the categories to support the rating assigned. The categories are: quality of product or service, cost control, timeliness of performance, and business relations.

SUBCONTRACORS

Indicate whether subcontracts are/were involved. Briefly summarize (500 characters or less) the performance of any subcontractors that have major responsibilities under the contract or are required to perform a significant part of the contract requirement. This space may also be used to evaluate a prime contractor's management of a subcontractor.

KEY PERSONNEL

List the name of the project manager and the names of two other key personnel (optional). Briefly describe the performance of the key personnel listed. (500 characters or less)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

Check the appropriate answer to indicate whether the contractor was committed to customer satisfaction. For the final report, indicate whether you would recommend selection of the firm again.

PROJECT OFFICER/CONTRACTING OFFICER'S TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE/COTR SIGNATURE

The Project Officer/COTR signs this block.

CONTRACTING OFFICER/AMO CONCURRENCE

The Contracting Officer/AMO initials this block, indicating concurrence with the initial rating.

CONTRACTOR=S REPRESENTATIVE

The Contractor signs this next block indicating review of the rating.

8

SUMMARY RATINGS

Indicate the rating given for each of the rating categories: quality of goods or services, cost control, timeliness of performance, and business relations.

CONTRACTING OFFICER/AMO SIGNATURE

The contracting officer/AMO signs the report when all actions are completed. If changes were made to the ratings or the narrative during the rebuttal process, a copy of the report, as revised, shall be promptly furnished to the contractor.

CONTRACTOR'S REVIEW

Indicate whether the contractor submitted a rebuttal or comments. Attach a copy of the contractor's rebuttal to this report, or indicate its location, if filed separately.

AGENCY REVIEW

If the contracting officer /AMO and the contractor are unable to agree on a final rating, the matter is to be referred to an individual one level above the contracting officer/AMO. Attach a copy of the agency's decision to this report, or indicate its location, if filed separately.

Summarize contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unsatisfactory), 1 (Poor), 2 (Fair), 3 (Good), 4 (Excellent), 5 (Outstanding). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations. Ensure that this assessment is consistent with any other Agency assessments made (i.e., for payment of fee purposes).

| Criteria: | Quality of Product or Service | Cost Control | Timeliness of Performance | Business Relations |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| | Compliance with contract requirements Accuracy of reports Effectiveness of personnel Technical excellence | Record of forecasting and controlling target costs Current, accurate and complete billings Relationship of negotiated costs to actuals Cost efficiencies | Met interim milestones Reliability Responsive to technical direction Completed on time including wrap-up and contract administration Met delivery schedules No liquidated damages assessed | Effective management, including subcontracts Reasonable/cooper-ative behavior Responsive to contract requirements Notification of problems Flexibility Pro-active vs reactive Effective small/small disadvantaged business subcontracting program |

0 - Unsatisfactory Non conformances are Ability to manage cost issues is Delays are jeopardizing Response to inquiries, jeopardizing the achievement of jeopardizing performance of performance of contract technical/service/administrative contract requirements, despite use contract requirements, despite use requirements, despite use of issues is not effective of Agency resources of Agency resources Agency resources 1 - Poor Overall compliance requires major Ability to manage cost issues Delays require major Agency Response to inquiries, Agency resources to ensure requires major Agency resources resources to ensure achievement technical/service/administrative achievement of contract to ensure achievement of contract of contract requirements issues is marginally effective requirements requirements 2 - Fair Overall compliance requires minor Ability to control cost issues Delays require minor Agency Response to inquiries, Agency resources to ensure requires minor Agency resources resources to ensure achievement technical/service/administrative achievement of contract to ensure achievement of contract of contract requirements issues is somewhat effective requirements requirements 3 - Good Overall compliance does not Management of cost issues does Delays do not impact Response to inquiries, not impact achievement of technical/service/administrative impact achievement of contract achievement of contract requirements contract requirements requirements issues is usually effective 4 - Excellent There are no quality problems There are no cost management There are no delays Response to inquiries, technical/service/administrative issues issues is effective 5 - Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level in any of the above four categories that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances when contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as Excellent.